

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Brenda Weksler, (ECF No. 54), which recommends Defendant's Motion to Suppress, (ECF No. 32), be granted.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. Local R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. Local R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g.*, *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).


Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (See Min. Order, ECF No. 54) (setting an October 5, 2021, deadline for objections).

1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 54), is  
3 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Defendant's Motion to Suppress, (ECF No. 32),  
5 is **GRANTED**.

6 **DATED** this 6 day of October, 2021.

7   
8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge  
United States District Court

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25